

Application No.: 09/919113

Case No.: 56904US002

In The Specification

On page 24, please replace the paragraph beginning at line 12 with the following:

Figure 1 is a plot of $L/[[L_0]] \underline{LQ}$ (where L is the sample length in mm as a function of temperature and $[[L_0]] \underline{LQ}$ is the initial sample length in mm) multiplied by 100 versus temperature in °C. Figure 1 shows that Liner 6 exhibited a thermal expansion closest to that of Sheeting 3870, yet Liner 6 exhibited poor roll stability, per Table II. Liner 1 and 2, having a thermal expansion less similar to Sheeting 3870 in comparison to Liner 6, exhibited good roll stability. Accordingly, the data and Figure 1 show that in contrast to the teachings of WO/14281, there was no correlation between thermal expansion and roll stability.

On page 24, please replace the paragraphs beginning at line 25 with the following:

Figure 2 is a plot of the measurements using "Shrinkage Test Method A". The figure is a plot of $L/[[L_0]] \underline{LQ}$ (where L is the sample length in mm at a given time and $[[L_0]] \underline{LQ}$ is the initial sample length in mm) versus time in minutes.

On page 24, please replace the paragraphs beginning at line 28 with the following:

Figure 3 is a plot of the measurements using "Shrinkage Test Method B". The figure is a plot of $1 - L/[[L_0]] \underline{LQ}$ (where L is the sample length in mm at a given time and $[[L_0]] \underline{LQ}$ is the initial sample length in mm) versus time in days. In Figure 3, the shrinkage was measured over a longer period of time than the data plotted in Figure 2.